



Tomasz Idzikowski  
Lazarski University  
tomasz.idzikowski@lazarski.edu.pl

## **SDG 2: Zero Hunger.**

### **The Fight for a World Without Malnutrition**

#### *Introduction*

Imagine going to bed every night with an empty stomach, not knowing if you will have anything to eat the next day. While this may seem like a far-off idea to most of us, 733 million people worldwide live with it on a daily basis (WHO 2024). This number exceeds the combined population of the European Union and the United States. It means that one in eleven persons on our planet suffer from chronic hunger (Concern Worldwide 2024). The paradox of our times lies in the fact that the world produces enough food to feed all its inhabitants, and yet hunger is one of the biggest problems of the modern world. The situation is particularly severe in Africa where one in five persons on the continent do not have reliable access to an adequate amount of food (Welthungerhilfe & Concern Worldwide 2024).

The ambitious goal of eradicating hunger, ensuring food security and better nutrition, and advancing sustainable agriculture by 2030 is outlined in Goal 2: Zero Hunger, which was endorsed by the UN in 2015 as one of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals. Having access to a nutritious, varied diet that allows individuals to lead active, healthy lifestyles is more important than simply supplying adequate calories. Regretfully, the most recent findings from global organizations paint a concerning image. The world is becoming farther away from this objective rather than closer to it. Globally, there were more than 152 million extra hungry people between 2019 and 2023 (United Nations, 2025). There would still be 582 million undernourished people by 2030 if current trends continue, with half of them living in Africa (FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP, WHO 2024). The scope and reasons of the global food problem will be discussed in this essay, but more importantly, we will look at the innovative solutions that give us hope for a brighter future. We will examine the initiatives of individuals and groups that are getting us closer every day to a future free of hunger, from creative rice-fish farming systems in the Mekong Delta to vertical farms in urban skyscrapers to inspirational African digital firms.



### *The Nature of Hunger – Problem Analysis*

Hunger goes beyond the sensation of an empty stomach. According to the definition by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), it is “an uncomfortable or painful physical sensation caused by insufficient food energy intake” (FAO n.d.). However, the problem has a broader dimension. It involves chronic undernourishment, which leads to physical weakness, increased vulnerability to disease, developmental disorders in children, and premature death. The latest report, *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2024*, presents alarming data (FAO 2024). In 2023:

- 733 million people suffered from hunger (an increase from 691 million in 2019);
- 2.33 billion people experienced moderate or severe food insecurity;
- 900 million people faced severe food insecurity;
- 3.1 billion people (nearly 40% of the global population) could not afford a healthy diet due to economic reasons (WHO 2024).

The uneven distribution of hunger is worrying. According to the *Global Hunger Index 2024*, in 36 countries, the level of hunger was classified as “serious,” while in five (Somalia, Yemen, Chad, Madagascar, and the Central African Republic) the situation was described as “alarming” (International Food Policy Research Institute). Africa is the most hunger affected continent. According to startling data, one in five Africans (20.4%) suffers from undernutrition (FAO 2024). This translates to almost 280 million people in absolute terms. In Sub-Saharan Africa, where one in three people in some regions do not have consistent access to adequate amounts of food, the situation is particularly bad. Despite advancements, more than half of the world's undernourished population reside in Asia, which continues to have the highest absolute number of hungry people (Our World in Data, 2023). Surprisingly, the most acute food shortages frequently occur in areas with significant agricultural potential.

Modern analysis of hunger extends beyond caloric balance. Experts distinguish four basic points (FAO 2008):

- Chronic undernourishment – a long-term lack of food;
- Hidden hunger – micronutrient deficiencies despite seemingly sufficient calories intake;
- Acute undernutrition – a rapid loss of body weight (e.g., due to conflict or disaster);
- Child stunting – impaired growth due to prolonged malnutrition.



The data on children are catastrophic. In 2023, 148 million children under the age of 5 suffered from stunting, 45 million were wasted, and 37 million were overweight (FAO 2024). The coexistence of undernutrition and obesity illustrates the complexity of modern food crises.

In addition to being a tragedy for people, hunger has a significant financial impact. The World Bank estimates that every year, malnutrition costs the world economy about \$3.5 trillion because of reduced productivity, raised healthcare expenses, and decreased economic growth (World Bank 2024). Children who suffer from chronic malnutrition in developing countries typically lose 20% of their potential adult income (World Bank, 2024). Hunger is not only a human tragedy but also a massive economic burden. According to the World Bank, malnutrition costs the global economy approximately \$3.5 trillion annually due to lost productivity, higher healthcare costs, and slower economic growth (World Bank 2024). In developing countries, chronically undernourished children lose on average 20% of their potential adult income (World Bank 2024). Understanding the scale and complexity of hunger is the foundation for combating it. The data prove that this is not a challenge for simple solutions. It requires concerted efforts on multiple fronts: increasing production, improving distribution, and battling poverty and inequality. In the following sections, we will analyse the main causes of the crisis and innovative strategies that offer hope for change.

### *Sources of the Global Food Crisis*

Hunger does not arise spontaneously. It is the result of overlapping factors that reinforce each other, creating a spiral of poverty and undernutrition. Identifying these causes is essential for effective addressing of the problem. The statistics are undeniable - wars are the key driver of hunger. 70% of people experiencing acute hunger live in conflict-affected areas (Gerlach 2023). In 2023, 117 million people experienced direct hunger because of warfare (Zweiniger-Bargielowska & Duffett 2016). Conflicts destroy not only human lives but also food infrastructure. Farmlands become battle zones, farmers flee, and transport routes are disrupted. In Sudan (conflict goes on since 2023), over 20 million people, or nearly half the population, require immediate humanitarian aid (ScienceDirect 2022). In the Gaza Strip, the situation has reached a catastrophic level, with 1.9 million people on the brink of famine (Muhyie 2025).

The climate crisis significantly fuels the rise in hunger. Extreme weather events like droughts, floods, cyclones - destroy crops and livelihoods (American University International Law Review 2023). In 2023, climate-related disasters affected over 70 million people, disrupting supply and raising food prices. The Sahel region in Africa faces a particularly tragic



fate, as prolonged droughts have collapsed agriculture. In Somalia, the worst drought in 40 years has left 6.6 million people in urgent need of food aid. Paradoxically, devastating floods pose problems elsewhere, for example in Pakistan (2022), they submerged 9.4 million acres of farmland, depriving millions of their livelihoods.

Poverty remains the root cause of hunger. The issue is not just food scarcity but the inability to afford it. In low-income countries, 71.5% of people cannot afford a healthy diet, while in high-income countries this figure is only 6.3% (ICRC 2022). The COVID-19 pandemic drastically deepened inequalities. Lockdowns stripped millions in the informal economy of income, inflation drove food prices up, and global supply chains collapsed. It is estimated that the pandemic pushed an additional 150 million people into extreme poverty (World Bank<sup>2022</sup>).

33% of the world's soils have been damaged by intensive farming, deforestation, and bad practices (FAO & ITPS 2022). This indicates that the land is becoming less fertile. Meanwhile, 2 billion people are already impacted by water scarcity, and by 2050, half of the world's population may be affected. Glaring inefficiencies also affect the contemporary food system. Approximately 1.3 billion tons of food are wasted annually, or one-third of all food produced (UNEP 2024).

In the meantime, 80% of food produced in developing nations comes from smallholder farms, who frequently lack access to markets, funding, and technology. All of these factors form a self-reinforcing cycle. Conflicts trigger migration, destroying local food production. Climate change intensifies competition for resources, initiating new conflicts. Poverty stifles investment in sustainable agriculture, accelerating environmental degradation. The case of Madagascar highlights this complexity. The country is suffering from its worst drought in 40 years, while also facing cyclones, endemic poverty, and political instability. The outcome? Over 2 million people need immediate food aid.

Recognizing the multidimensional nature of hunger's causes is essential for designing effective strategies. Increasing food production on its own is insufficient - comprehensive action is required - peacebuilding, climate adaptation, poverty reduction, and food system reform. In the following sections, we will examine how different regions are responding to these challenges through innovation.

### ***Goal 2: Zero Hunger - In-Depth Analysis***

In September 2015, during a historic UN summit, 193 countries unanimously adopted the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Among the 17 Goals, Goal 2 holds particular



importance, as it addresses a fundamental human need—access to food (United Nations General Assembly 2015). Goal 2 states: “End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture” (United Nations 2025). This seemingly concise formulation encompasses a comprehensive transformation of global food systems. The UN defined eight specific targets and three means of implementation, creating a detailed action plan (Arblaster 2022). The targets for 2030 are:

- Target 2.1: End hunger and ensure year-round access to safe, nutritious, and sufficient food for all people, especially the poor, infants, and vulnerable groups (Ritchie & Roser 2025).
- Target 2.2: End all forms of malnutrition, including achieving the internationally agreed targets on stunting and wasting in children under 5 by 2025 (Victoria 2021).
- Target 2.3: Double the agricultural productivity and incomes of small-scale food producers, especially women, indigenous peoples, family farmers, pastoralists, and fishers (Ricciardi, Ramankutty, Mehrabi, Jarvis & Chookolingo 2018).
- Target 2.4: Ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilient agricultural practices that increase productivity, protect ecosystems, and support climate adaptation (Pretty & Bharucha 2014).
- Target 2.5: Maintain the genetic diversity of seeds, cultivated plants, farmed and domesticated animals, and their related wild species (Ahuja 2019).

The UN has established key monitoring indicators (Sachs, Lafortune, Fuller & Woelm 2024):

- Prevalence of undernourishment (9.2% of the global population);
- Prevalence of moderate or severe food insecurity (29.6%);
- Prevalence of stunting in children under 5 (22.3%);
- Prevalence of wasting in children under 5 (6.8%).

Data from 2024 are alarming. The world is moving away from achieving the goal. If current trends continue, 582 million people will remain chronically undernourished by 2030 (Financial Times 2024). At this pace, the world will reach low hunger levels only by 2160, with a 130-year delay (Ritchie & Roser 2023). Regional trends are particularly troubling. Hunger has increased in 22 countries since 2016, and five countries (Fiji, Jordan, Libya, Syria, Venezuela) have worse indicators than in 2000 (Sustainable Development Solutions Network 2025). Africa, a declared priority, is likely to be home to half of all undernourished people by 2030 (Mensah 2025). Experts point the key barriers that are causes of delays (International Institute for Sustainable Development 2020):



- Underfunding – an additional \$330 billion annually is needed;
- Lack of coordination – efforts are fragmented, duplicated, or contradictory;
- Excessive focus on short-term aid at the expense of systemic change;
- Underestimation of local solutions and traditional knowledge;
- Impacts of crises – pandemics, wars, climate disasters.

We should always remember that Goal 2 is integrally linked to other goals like (Nilsson, Griggs & Visbeck 2016):

- Goal 1 - No Poverty, hunger and poverty are inseparable;
- Goal 3 - Good Health, malnutrition causes 45% of deaths in children under 5;
- Goal 6 - Clean Water, agriculture consumes 70% of freshwater resources;
- Goal 13 - Climate Action, food systems generate one-third of global greenhouse gas emissions.

Despite negative global trends, some countries have made significant progress. Bangladesh reduced its undernourishment rate from 32.8% (1990) to 11.4% (2023) (Global Hunger Index 2024). Ethiopia, despite its challenges, cut the number of undernourished people by 7 million in the past decade (Hassen, Ayele & Fentahun 2022). These examples prove that Goal 2, though ambitious, is achievable. However, it requires a fundamental shift: from short-term aid to systemic transformation, from donor-recipient dynamics to equal partnerships, from importing ready-made solutions to supporting local innovation. In the following section, we will present concrete examples of such transformative actions from around the world.

### ***Innovative Solutions: The Rice–Fish System in the Mekong Delta***

In the heart of Vietnam, where the nine branches of the Mekong River flow into the South China Sea, lies one of the most fertile regions on Earth. Known to the Vietnamese as the “Nine-Dragon River Delta,” the Mekong Delta has sustained millions of people for centuries. Today, however, it faces unprecedented challenges: rising sea levels, soil salinization, and extreme weather events. Paradoxically, these threats have become the catalyst for one of the world’s most innovative food production systems (Starmans 2024).

Covering 40,000 square kilometres and home to 17 million people, the Mekong Delta produces half of Vietnam’s rice and nearly two-thirds of its aquaculture products. It is vital not only to the country's food security but also to that of the broader Southeast Asian region. Yet the delta is literally sinking. Excessive groundwater extraction has caused the land to subside



by 2 to 5 centimetres annually, while sea levels are rising at a rate of 3 millimetres per year (Minderhoud 2017). As a result, saltwater intrusion is making traditional rice cultivation increasingly unviable. The rice-fish system is not entirely new. Vietnamese farmers have long dug ponds and ditches in their rice fields to shelter fish (Berg 2002). Today, this ancestral practice has evolved into a sophisticated, climate-adaptive production model. The system harnesses the region's natural salinity cycle. During the dry season (December to May), fields are flooded with brackish water to raise black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) or fish (Minh Phu Seafood Corporation 2025). When the monsoon arrives (June to November), rains flush out the salt, making the soil suitable again for rice cultivation.

Minh Phu Seafood Corporation, one of Vietnam's largest seafood exporters, has modernized this system in cooperation with thousands of smallholder farmers. The company provides high-quality, disease-free shrimp larvae, technical training in sustainable practices, and water quality monitoring via IoT sensors, while guaranteeing fair-price purchases (Minh Phu Seafood Corporation 2024). In addition, salt-tolerant rice varieties such as ST24 and ST25 are used to produce premium-quality grain even in moderately saline soils (Tran 2024). Annual income comparisons per hectare show a clear advantage for the integrated system (WWF-Vietnam 2023). Traditional rice monoculture yields around \$656 annually, shrimp-only farming brings in about \$1,353, while the rice-fish system can generate up to \$2,650 per year, reaching as much as \$40,000 under optimal conditions. A typical 2-hectare farm can produce annually between 10 and 16 tons of rice (across two harvests), 600 to 2,000 kilograms of shrimp, 200 to 400 kilograms of freshwater fish as a by-product, and 50 to 100 kilograms of crabs from opportunistic harvests (Bosma 2012). Because of its ecological character and exceptional taste, the rice from this system sells at a premium price, up to even 80% higher than conventional rice (VASEP 2024).

The integrated system creates a natural symbiosis. Fish and shrimp feed on rice pests and algae, eliminating the need for pesticides. Their waste fertilizes the soil, reducing the need for chemical fertilizers by 50 to 70%. Biodiversity is about 40% higher than in monocultures, with a noticeable return of birds, frogs, and dragonflies. Research conducted by Can Tho University has confirmed that this method uses 30% less water than traditional rice paddies, emits 40% less methane, and results in 25% greater carbon sequestration in the soil. It also offers increased resilience against climate extremes (Can Tho University 2023).

Despite its advantages, the system faces several barriers. Investment costs can range from \$3,000 to \$5,000 per hectare. Managing water quality requires technical knowledge, and there is always a risk of disease outbreaks in shrimp populations. To address these issues,



farmers have begun forming cooperatives to share costs and spread the risks. Mobile applications such as AquaConnect help monitor water parameters via smartphone, and training is provided through universities and NGOs (AquaConnect 2024).

The Mekong Delta model has begun inspiring similar efforts elsewhere. In Bangladesh, where 2 million hectares are at risk of salinization, pilot projects have shown promising results (Pandeya & Begum 2015). In West Bengal, India, 50,000 farmers have transitioned to integrated systems. Local adaptations include replacing shrimp with carp or tilapia, and rice with salt-tolerant grains. The essential idea remains the same, turning threat into opportunity. Experts forecast that by 2050, integrated systems like this could expand to 20 million hectares across Asia, producing an additional 50 million tons of food annually, which is enough to feed 200 million people (ESCAP, ADB & UNDP 2024). In the face of climate change and growing global demand for food, such systems are not just innovative, they are necessary. The Mekong Delta proves that the best solutions lie in combining traditional wisdom with modern technology, local experience with global science, and economic viability with ecological responsibility. This is more than a food production model. It is a pathway to achieve Goal 2: Zero Hunger, while preserving the planet.

### ***Vertical Farming – The Urban Future of Food Production***

In a repurposed steel mill in Newark, New Jersey, AeroFarms cultivates 900 tons of leafy greens on 6,500 square meters of floor space every year. The crops grow in vertical layers up to 9 meters high, without soil or sunlight. This yield surpasses what traditional farms produce on 150 hectares. This is vertical farming - an emerging technology reshaping the foundations of food production. Vertical farming involves growing crops in stacked layers within controlled environments inside buildings. Instead of fields, there are multilevel racks. Instead of sunlight, precision-engineered LED lighting guides plant development. Instead of soil, roots absorb nutrients delivered via mist or hydroponic systems (Rhodes 2017). It's a concept that is already feeding millions. Popularized by Professor Dickson Despommier of Columbia University in his 2010 book "The Vertical Farm", the model rests on a simple premise - if 70% of the world's population will live in cities by 2050, food production must follow them there. As an example, at AeroFarms, each plant is cultivated under optimally tailored conditions (Brazilian Ministry of Agriculture 2023). LED lighting is adjusted to match specific growth phases, blue wavelengths for leaf development, red for flowering. The crops grow via aeroponics, with roots



suspended in air and misted with nutrient-rich fog. Artificial intelligence processes millions of data points to optimize growth and closed-loop water systems recover up to 95% of water used.

In Singapore, a country that imports 90% of its food, Sky Greens operates rotating nine-meter-high vertical towers with their A-Go-Gro system, powered by only 40 watts per tower. In Compton, California, company Plenty uses robotic automation and "digital passports" for each plant, achieving yields 400 times higher per square meter than traditional farms. In Japan, Spread's Kameoka facility produces 30,000 heads of lettuce daily with a workforce of only 25 employees, showcasing extreme efficiency (World Resources Institute 2023). Vertical farming offers transformative efficiencies. It reduces water usage by 98% and enables year-round production, regardless of season. Yield per square meter can be up to 100 times greater than in conventional systems. These farms operate entirely without pesticides, accelerate plant growth by 30–50% and reduce transport-related emissions by up to 90% thanks to urban proximity. The cost of building a vertical farm range anywhere between \$4 and \$40 million, depending on scale. However, declining operational costs are improving profitability. LED lighting prices have dropped by 90% over the past decade, and automation has significantly reduced labour expenses. Many vertical farms break even within three to five years (Self 2014). Consumers are willing to pay 20–30% more for locally grown, pesticide-free food. In Tokyo, vertical farm lettuce is only about 10% more expensive than imports from California.

Energy consumption remains a major hurdle. A farm producing 1,000 tons of vegetables annually consumes the same electricity as 1,000 households. Renewable energy integration is increasingly seen as the solution. Crop diversity is also limited, most vertical farms grow leafy greens, herbs, and strawberries. Cereals and root vegetables remain economically unfeasible. Furthermore, high capital requirements create entry barriers, especially in developing regions.

Several pioneering developments are expanding the sector's potential. At MIT, researchers have created "food computers," fridge-sized indoor farms for schools and community use (MIT 2018). At Wageningen University, scientists have grown vertical wheat with six times the yield of conventional fields. In Kenya, company Hydroponics Africa delivers vertical growing systems for under \$500, reducing water use by 80% and empowering urban growers. With 68% of the global population projected to live in cities by 2050 (LEISA 2018), vertical farming could transform urban food systems. It offers localized solutions to food deserts, enhances resilience during crises, curbs food waste, and creates new jobs in urban agriculture. The United Arab Emirates, which imports 90% of its food, invested more than \$100 million in the world's largest vertical farm, located in Abu Dhabi (Middleland Capital 2020).



In regions where outdoor temperatures can exceed 50°C, such innovations are not optional, they are basically essential to survive.

The vertical farming market is projected to grow from \$5.5 billion in 2023 to \$24 billion by 2030. Future visions include skyscrapers with agricultural floors, rooftop farms feeding onsite restaurants, and classroom mini farms to educate the next generation. Alongside integrated and regenerative farming, vertical farming stands as a key tool in the global effort to end hunger. When land runs short, the answer is to grow upwards.

### ***Barriers to Achieving Goal 2: Zero Hunger***

Despite technological innovations and international aid efforts, the world is not on track to achieve Sustainable Development Goal 2 by 2030. Since 2019, the number of people suffering from hunger has increased by 152 million (Concern Worldwide / Concern USA 2024). The complex and interwoven causes of this trend form a network of obstacles that can only be overcome through coordinated global action.

According to the FAO, achieving Zero Hunger would require an additional \$267 billion per year until 2030 (FAO 2015). Funding for food security and agriculture now falls well short of this goal. International aid only partially addresses the true requirements, and many developing nations cannot afford to invest in social safety nets or rural infrastructure. An estimated 70% of people suffering from hunger live in war zones (World Food Programme 2023). Ongoing conflicts in Yemen, Syria, Afghanistan, and across Sub-Saharan Africa destroy food systems, displace communities, and hinder humanitarian access. Political instability and weak governance structures prevent the implementation of long-term recovery and development strategies. Extreme weather events such as droughts, floods, and heatwaves are increasing in frequency and severity, outpacing the adaptive capacity of global food systems. Soil degradation now affects more than one-third of the Earth's land surface (FAO 2023). Traditional agricultural practices are becoming obsolete, particularly in smallholder farms - which produce 80% of food in developing countries - where there are limited resources for adaptation. Modern agricultural innovations - including precision farming, artificial intelligence, and biotechnology - remain out of reach for millions of small-scale farmers in the Global South. The digital divide and prohibitive costs exclude those most in need of support. Lack of access to basic inputs, weather forecasts, and modern tools further deepens the inequality between rich and poor food producers.



Efforts to boost food production often come at an ecological cost, including deforestation for farmland expansion, overuse of water resources, intensive chemical inputs, and widespread biodiversity loss (Benton 2021). By destroying the very ecosystems that are necessary for food production, these practices weaken long-term resilience and increase the susceptibility of rural communities to shocks. These interconnected barriers form a complex system that demands an integrated and systemic response. Without simultaneously addressing the financing gap, political instability, climate adaptation, equitable access to technology, and environmental conservation, Goal 2 will remain out of reach. Recognizing and understanding these multidimensional challenges is the first step toward building more resilient and just food systems capable of ending hunger.

### *Vision 2050 - A World Free from Hunger*

Imagine that in 2050, achieving Zero Hunger is a reality rather than an aspiration. Success is all that's left of that previous challenge, thanks to the steps we start today. Global food systems are about to undergo a revolution like the Industrial or Digital Revolutions. Consider this:

- By 2030: Although fully achieving Goal 2 may still be out of reach, global hunger will drop to approximately 400 million people, driven by massive investments in both technological innovation and social protection programs. Every small-scale farmer will gain access to mobile tools delivering real-time weather updates, market prices, and best-practice guides (Ritchie & Roser 2023).
- Between 2030 and 2040: Urban food production will become mainstream. Vertical farms will meet about 40% of vegetable and fruit needs in major metropolitan areas. Artificial intelligence will optimize crop systems, cutting water consumption by 70% and boosting yields by 50%. Regenerative agriculture will restore soil fertility at scale.
- By 2050: Hunger will cease to be a mass crisis. Global food production will have increased by 60%, while our environmental footprint will shrink (FAO 2020).

IoT sensors and big data analytics will be used in smart agriculture to combine new and traditional methods. Food losses will be reduced from 30% to less than 10% using local supply chains. Agroecological systems, rice-fish systems, urban farms, insect farms, and even cellular agriculture will all see an increase in production (FAO 2021). Circular economy principles will be fully embraced - 100% organic waste recycled into compost, all packaging biodegradable or reusable, closed-loop water systems, and production powered entirely by renewables (Ellen



MacArthur Foundation 2022). Global collaboration will be institutionalized through a newly established International Food Organization, merging the mandates of FAO, WFP, and IFAD, with a budget on par with military expenditures. Cities will create food networks and knowledge-sharing platforms to connect farmers globally. In Brazil, hunger dropped by 80% over two decades, driven by family farming and social welfare expansion. Rwanda achieved food self-sufficiency following the 1994 genocide (World Bank 2022). China fed 1.4 billion people while increasing its forest cover. The price of DNA sequencing has dropped by 99.9% in less than ten years, enabling cheaper breeding and diagnostics (Wetterstrand 2023). AI systems now diagnose plant disease with 95% accuracy. Vertical farms, in some cases, produce 365 times more per square meter than field agriculture. A world without hunger demands political will and global solidarity. With the tools, knowledge, and resources at our disposal, a future where every child has access to nutritious food is within reach.

### *Conclusion - A Call to Action*

The fight against hunger is not merely a moral duty; it is the foundation of global stability and the future of humanity. Seven hundred thirty-three million people suffering from hunger represents not only human suffering but the loss of entire societies' potential. Innovations from rice-fish systems in the Mekong Delta to vertical farms, demonstrate that solutions exist today. The critical question remains - do we possess the global will to use them effectively? The three pillars of success are:

- Access to technology - innovations must reach those who need them most, bridging inequalities in agricultural development.
- Valuing local knowledge - the fusion of traditional wisdom with technology such as African mobile apps based on local farming practices is indispensable for sustainable implementation (FAO 2022).
- Global cooperation - no country can win this fight alone and unprecedented international coordination is essential to address complex global challenges.

Every day of delay means 25,000 avoidable hunger-related deaths. We must act fast at three levels. Globally - governments and institutions should commit an additional \$267 billion annually and resolve ongoing conflicts (FAO 2021). Nationally - prioritize investments in agriculture, farmer education, and rural infrastructure. Locally - strengthen agricultural cooperatives, micro-finance initiatives, and access to technology at the grassroots level.



Your role in change is extremely important. Anyone can make a difference. Individually you can make conscious food choices, reduce waste and support aid organizations. As a part of community you can advocate for local food producers, educate others about food origins and pressure policymakers. Commercially you can invest in sustainable supply chains and agricultural innovations. Zero Hunger is within reach but only with our collective will. History demonstrates that when humanity unites, we can overcome the greatest challenges. It's time to turn knowledge into action. A future without hunger is possible and we will build it together.

### *Literature:*

- Ahuja, M. R., 2019. 'Genetic diversity and conservation of plant genetic resources'. In Ahuja, M. R. & Jain, S. M. (eds.), *Plant Biology and Biotechnology*. Berlin: Springer, pp. 505 – 521.
- American University International Law Review, 2023. 'Navigating the Battlefield of Hunger During Armed Conflicts'. *AUILR*, vol. 39, issue 2.
- AquaConnect, 2024. 'Digital Solutions for Smallholder Aquaculture', viewed 9 July 2025, <https://aquaconnect.blue>.
- Arblaster, A., 2022. 'Understanding the roadmap for SDG 2'. In Smith, B. (ed.), *Transitioning to Zero Hunger*. Basel: MDPI, pp. 15 – 37.
- Berg, H., 2002. 'Rice monoculture and integrated rice-fish farming in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam—economic and ecological considerations', *Ecological Economics*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 95 – 107.
- Benton, T. G. et al., 2021. 'Food system impacts on biodiversity loss'. Chatham House, viewed 15 July 2025, <https://www.chathamhouse.org/sites/default/files/2021-02/2021-02-03-food-system-biodiversity-loss-benton-et-al.pdf>.
- Bosma, R. H., et al., 2012. 'Factors affecting farmers' adoption of integrated rice–fish farming systems in the Mekong delta, Vietnam', *Reviews in Aquaculture*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 178 – 190.
- Brazilian Ministry of Agriculture, 2023. 'ABC Plan Results 2010-2023', MAPA, Brazil.
- Can Tho University, 2023. 'Environmental Impact Assessment of Integrated Rice-Fish Systems', Research Report, College of Aquaculture and Fisheries, Can Tho.
- Concern Worldwide, 2024. 'World hunger facts: What you need to know in 2024 (and 2025)', Concern Worldwide, viewed 2 July 2025, <https://www.concern.net/news/world-hunger-facts-figures>.



- Concern Worldwide / Concern USA, 2024. “World hunger facts: What you need to know in 2025”. Concern Worldwide, viewed 14 July 2025, <https://www.concernusa.org/news/world-hunger-facts>.
- Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2022. Circular Economy in Food Systems – 2022 Report, London: EMF.
- ESCAP, ADB & UNDP, 2024. People and Planet: Addressing the Interlinked Challenges of Climate Change, Poverty and Hunger in Asia and the Pacific. Bangkok: ESCAP/ADB/UNDP, viewed 10 July 2025, <https://www.sdgasiapacific.net/knowledge-products/2024/files/SDG-Partnership-Report-2024.pdf>.
- FAO & ITPS. Global Assessment of Soil Degradation. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2022, viewed 4 July 2025, <https://www.fao.org/3/cb7654en/cb7654en.pdf>.
- FAO, 2020. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2020, Rome: FAO.
- FAO, 2023. “Desertification and land degradation”. FAO Action Against Desertification, viewed 14 July 2025, <https://www.fao.org/in-action/action-against-desertification/overview/desertification-and-land-degradation/en>.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). 2021. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2021. Rome, viewed 16 July 2025, <https://www.fao.org/publications/sofi/2021/en>.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). 2022. Indigenous Peoples' Food Systems: Insights on Sustainability and Resilience. Rome, viewed 16.07.2025, <https://www.fao.org/3/cb5131en/cb5131en.pdf>.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN, 2015. “Investing in a future free from hunger”. FAO Newsroom, viewed 14 July 2025, <https://www.fao.org/newsroom/detail/Investing-in-a-future-free-from-hunger/en/>.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), World Food Programme (WFP), World Health Organization (WHO), 2024. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2024, FAO, Rome, viewed 3 July 2025, <https://www.fao.org/publications/fao-flagship-publications/the-state-of-food-security-and-nutrition-in-the-world/en>.



- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations et al., 2024. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2024 – Financing to end hunger, food insecurity and malnutrition in all its forms. Rome: FAO / IFAD / UNICEF / WFP / WHO.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations et al., 2024. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2024, Table on child malnutrition. Rome: FAO / IFAD / UNICEF / WFP / WHO.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2008. An Introduction to the Basic Concepts of Food Security. FAO, viewed 4 June 2025, <https://www.fao.org/4/a1936e/a1936e00.pdf>.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2024. Hunger statistics by region, in The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2024. Rome: FAO.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, n.d. Definition of hunger. UNRIC – United Nations Regional Information Centre, viewed 3 July 2025, <https://unric.org/en/i-am-hungry-what-does-it-mean/>.
- FAO. Agroecological and other innovative approaches for sustainable agriculture and food systems. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2021, viewed 15.07.2025, <https://www.fao.org/3/cb7430en/cb7430en.pdf>.
- Financial Times, 2024. ‘UN blasts “shamefully” high hunger levels’. Financial Times, 24 July 2024, viewed 7 July 2025, <https://www.ft.com/content/d909cbe4-5011-4eab-8118-34c649b690b8>.
- Gerlach, M., 2023. How the World Hunger Problem Was Not Solved. London: Routledge.
- Global Hunger Index, 2024. ‘Bangladesh country profile’. Global Hunger Index, viewed 8 July 2025, <https://www.globalhungerindex.org/bangladesh.html>.
- Hassen, A. M., Ayele, T. A. & Fentahun, N., 2022. ‘Change in stunting and its associated factors among children under five in Ethiopia’. BMJ Open, vol. 12, no. 11, e061707.
- ICRC, 2022. ‘Food Security and Armed Conflict: Policy Brief’, International Review of the Red Cross.
- International Food Policy Research Institute et al., 2024. Global Hunger Index 2024. Concern Worldwide and Welthungerhilfe.
- International Institute for Sustainable Development, 2020. ‘Ending world hunger by 2030 would cost \$330 billion, study finds’. IISD News, 13 October 2020, viewed 8 July 2025, <https://www.iisd.org/articles/iisd-news/ending-world-hunger-2030-would-cost-330bn-study-finds>.



- LEISA India, 2018. “Rice–fish farming systems in West Bengal: adaptive practices and benefits.” LEISA India Journal, viewed 13 July 2025, <https://www.leisaindia.org/sustainable-aquaculture-its-all-about-better-management-practices>.
- Mensah, C., 2025. ‘Unveiling the human-security crisis in the Global South: a scoping review’. Discover Sustainability, vol. 4, no. 1, article 78.
- Middleland Capital, 2020. “Abu Dhabi is investing \$100 million in indoor farming as it tries to become more resilient.” Middleland Capital, 29 September 2020, viewed 13 July 2025, <https://www.middlelandcapital.com/abu-dhabi-is-investing-100-million-in-indoor-farming-as-it-tries-to-become-more-resilient>.
- Minderhoud, P. S. J., et al., 2017. 'Mekong delta much lower than previously assumed in sea-level rise impact assessments', Nature Communications, vol. 8, article 14502.
- Minh Phu Seafood Corporation, 2024. 'Annual Sustainability Report 2024', Minh Phu, Ca Mau, Vietnam.
- Minh Phu Seafood Corporation, 2025. 'Future-proofing rice and shrimp farms in the Mekong Delta', The Fish Site, 14 January 2025, viewed 9 July 2025, <https://thefishsite.com/articles/future-proofing-rice-and-shrimp-farms-in-the-mekong-delta>.
- MIT “Food Computer” development IEEE Spectrum, 2018. “MIT Media Lab's Food Computer Project Permanently Shut Down.” IEEE Spectrum, 24 June 2018, viewed 12 July 2025, <https://spectrum.ieee.org/mit-media-lab-food-computer-project-shut-down>.
- Muhyie, J. H. et al., 2025. ‘Synthesizing the impact of armed conflicts on food security, livelihoods and social dynamics in Amhara region, Ethiopia’, BMC Nutrition, vol. 11, art. 29.
- Nilsson, M., Griggs, D. & Visbeck, M., 2016. ‘Map the interactions between Sustainable Development Goals’. Nature, vol. 534, no. 7607, pp. 320 – 322.
- Our World in Data, 2023. Hunger and undernourishment. Our World in Data, viewed 3 July 2025, <https://ourworldindata.org/hunger-and-overnourishment>.
- Pandeya, R. P. & Begum, R., 2015. Rice–fish integration for high-salinity coastal areas of Bangladesh. Global Seafood Alliance Advocate, 9 April 2015, viewed 9 July 2025, <https://www.globalseafood.org/advocate/rice-fish-integration-for-high-saline-coastal-areas-of-bangladesh>.
- Pretty, J. & Bharucha, Z. P., 2014. ‘Sustainable intensification in agricultural systems’. Annals of Botany, vol. 114, no. 8, pp. 1571 – 1596.



- Rhodes, C. J., 2017. 'The imperative for regenerative agriculture', *Science Progress*, vol. 100, no. 1, pp. 80 – 129.
- Ricciardi, V., Ramankutty, N., Mehrabi, Z., Jarvis, L. & Chookolingo, E., 2018. 'How much of our world's food do smallholders produce?' *Global Food Security*, vol. 17, pp. 64 – 72.
- Ritchie, H. & Roser, M., 2023. 'Hunger and undernourishment'. *Our World in Data*, viewed 7 July 2025, <https://ourworldindata.org/hunger-and-overnourishment>.
- Ritchie, H. & Roser, M., 2023. "Share of people with regularly available data through mobile agriculture apps." *Our World in Data*, viewed 15 July 2025, <https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-mobile-agriculture-data>.
- Ritchie, H. & Roser, M., 2025. 'Zero Hunger: targets and indicators'. *Our World in Data*, viewed 6 July 2025, <https://ourworldindata.org/sdgs/zero-hunger>.
- Sachs, J. D., Lafortune, G., Fuller, G. & Woelm, F., 2024. *Sustainable Development Report 2024*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- ScienceDirect, 2022. 'The logics of war and food (in)security', *World Development Perspectives*, vol. 26, art. 100377.
- Self, 2014. 'What's the Deal With Aeroponic Farming?' *Self Magazine*, viewed 12 July 2025, <https://www.self.com/story/aeroponic-farming>.
- Starmans, S., 2024. 'The sinking aquaculture dragon: struggles in the Mekong', *The Fish Site*, 5 July 2024, viewed 9 July 2025, <https://thefishsite.com/articles/the-sinking-aquaculture-dragon-struggles-in-the-mekong-food-security>.
- Sustainable Development Solutions Network, 2025. *Sustainable Development Report 2025: Country Profiles and Dashboards*. Paris: SDSN Publishing.
- Tran D.D. (edit.), et al., 2024. 'Advancing sustainable rice production in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta', *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 18, e31738.
- UNEP. *Food Waste Index Report 2024*. Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme, 2024, viewed 4 July 2025, <https://www.unep.org/resources/food-waste-index-report-2024>.
- United Nations General Assembly, 2015. *Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. A/RES/70/1, adopted 25 September 2015, viewed 6 July 2025, <https://www.refworld.org/legal/resolution/unga/2015/en/111816>.
- United Nations, 2025. 'Food', *United Nations Global Issues*, viewed 2 July 2025, <https://www.un.org/en/global-issues/food>.



- United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2025. “Goal 2: Zero Hunger – Official wording and targets”, Sustainable Development Goal 2, viewed 6 July 2025, <https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal2>.
- Victora, C. G., 2021. ‘Child malnutrition: hungry for action’. The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health, vol. 5, no. 10, pp. 656 – 658.
- Vietnam Association of Seafood Exporters and Producers (VASEP), 2024. 'Sustainable Aquaculture Report 2024', VASEP, Ho Chi Minh City.
- Welthungerhilfe & Concern Worldwide, 2024. Global Hunger Index 2024: How Gender Justice Can Advance Climate Resilience and Zero Hunger, viewed 6 July 2025, <https://www.globalhungerindex.org/>.
- Wetterstrand, K.A. DNA Sequencing Costs Data. Bethesda, MD: National Human Genome Research Institute, 2023, viewed 16.07.2025, <https://www.genome.gov/about-genomics/fact-sheets/DNA-Sequencing-Costs-Data>.
- World Bank, 2024. Economic impacts of child malnutrition in developing countries, World Bank report via Reuters, viewed 4 July 2025, <https://www.reuters.com/business/healthcare-pharmaceuticals/hunger-crisis-deepens-global-hotspots-famine-risk-rises-un-warns-2025-06-16/>.
- World Bank, 2024. The cost of malnutrition to the global economy. World Bank report via Reuters, viewed 4 July 2025, <https://www.reuters.com/business/healthcare-pharmaceuticals/hunger-crisis-deepens-global-hotspots-famine-risk-rises-un-warns-2025-06-16/>.
- World Bank. Global Economic Prospects: Brazil, Rwanda, China Case Studies. Washington D.C.: International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / World Bank, 2022, viewed 15.07.2025, <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/37201>.
- World Bank. Poverty and Shared Prosperity 2022: Correcting Course. Washington D.C.: International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / World Bank, 2022, viewed 4 July 2025, <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/37739>.
- World Food Programme, 2023. “Conflict and hunger”. WFP, viewed 14 July 2025, <https://www.wfp.org/conflict-and-hunger>.
- World Health Organization, 2024. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2024. WHO, viewed 3 July 2025, <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/the-state-of-food-security-and-nutrition-in-the-world-2024>.
- World Health Organization, 2024. 'Hunger numbers stubbornly high for three consecutive years as global crises deepen: UN report', WHO News, 24 July 2024, viewed 2 July



2025, <https://www.who.int/news/item/24-07-2024-hunger-numbers-stubbornly-high-for-three-consecutive-years-as-global-crises-deepen--un-report>.

World Resources Institute, 2023. 'Regreening Africa: A Movement Taking Root', WRI, Washington DC.

WWF-Vietnam, 2023. 'Investment Model for Rice-Shrimp Farming in the Mekong Delta', unpublished report, WWF, Hanoi.

Zweiniger-Bargielowska, I. & Duffett, R., eds., 2016. Food and War in Twentieth-Century Europe. London: Routledge.